arXiv:hep-th/9801071v2 23 Apr 1998

Preprint
April 1998

Vacuum energy for the supersymmetric twisted D-brane in constant electromagnetic field

Andrei A. BYTSENKO[*1], Antonio E. GONÇALVES[*2]

∗ *Departamento de Fisica, Universidade Estadual de Londrina,*
Caixa Postal 6001, Londrina-Parana, BRAZIL

Shin'ichi NOJIRI[† 3]

† *Department of Mathematics and Physics National Defence Academy,*
Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239, JAPAN

and

Sergei D. ODINTSOV[‡ 4]

‡ *Departamento de Fisica, Universidad del Valle,*
AA 25360, Cali, COLOMBIA

ABSTRACT

We calculate vacuum energy for twisted SUSY D-brane on toroidal background with constant magnetic or constant electric field. Its behaviour for toroidal D-brane (p=2) in constant electric field shows the presence of stable minimum for twisted versions of the theory. That indicates such background maybe reasonable groundstate.

---

[1] e-mail: abyts@fisica.uel.br On leave from Sankt-Petersburg Technical University, Russia

[2] email : goncalve@fisica.uel.br

[3] e-mail : nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp

[4] e-mail : odintsov@quantum.univalle.edu.co On leave from Tomsk Pedagogical University, Russia

PACS: 04.50.+h, 4.60.-m, 11.25.-w

In attempts to understand the D-brane theory [1, 2, 3] study of its vacuum polarization may be quite important because of different reasons. In particular, calculation of the vacuum energy (or the effective potential) (as in the case of p-brane [4]) and study their properties may define reasonable background as a candidate for groundstate. The investigation of such groundstate can clarify if theory contains tachyons in the spectrum or not.

Toroidal background is one of the simplest candidates for groundstate in the theory of extended objects. It is well-known fact that toroidal background in the theory of $D = 11$ supermembrane [5] is supersymmetric one and the vacuum energy is zero on such background. However, if antiperiodic boundary conditions are chosen for fermions (like non-zero temperature) the supersymmetry is usually broken and the vacuum energy is not zero. Such twist assignments (antiperiodic boundary conditions for all or part of fermions) are consistent with classical SUSY transformations so it could be that not all supersymmetries of the model are broken (compare with [6]).

Recently the effective potential for SUSY D-brane in the constant electromagnetic field has been found [7] (for the case of bosonic D-brane see [8]). However, only periodic boundary conditions have been discussed in Ref. [7].

The purpose of present letter is to extend results of the paper [7] to the case of twisted fermion fields. In particular, we may have such situation for the effective potential at non-zero temperature if identify one of dimensions of torus with temperature.

The supersymmetric D-brane can be given by the following Dirac-Born-Infeld-type action (see Refs. [9, 10, 11])

$$S_D = k \int_0^T d\zeta_0 \int d^p\zeta \mathrm{e}^{-\phi(X)} \left[\det\left(G_{MN}\partial_i Z^M \partial_j Z^N + F_{ij}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + S_{WZ} \ , \qquad (1)$$

where ζ^i's are coordinates on the D-brane world sheet $(i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, p)$, F_{ij} is the electromagnetic field strength on the D-brane world sheet:

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i \ , \qquad (2)$$

and Z^M are the superspace coordinates of D-brane

$$\{Z^M\} = (X^\mu, \theta^\alpha), \qquad (3)$$

$(\mu,\nu=0,1,\cdots,9,\ \alpha=1,2,\cdots,32)$. θ is a Majorana-Weyl (16 independent components) spinor in case of heterotic string, a Majorana spinor in case of type IIA superstring and are two kinds of Majorana-Weyl (totally 32 components) spinors in case of type IIB superstring. In Eq. (1) $\phi(X)$ is a dilaton field and S_{WZ} is Wess-Zumino like term containing anti-symmetric tensor fields. Note that this term will not be important for our purposes so we dont discuss it below.

We consider the case when the action is given by

$$S_D = k\int_0^T d\zeta_0 \int d^p\zeta \mathrm{e}^{-\phi(X)}\left[\det\left((G_{\mu\nu}+B_{\mu\nu})\Pi_i^\mu\Pi_j^\nu + F_{ij}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\ , \tag{4}$$

$G_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of the space-time, $B_{\mu\nu}$ is the anti-symmetric tensor and

$$\Pi_i^\mu \equiv \partial_i X^\mu - i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^\mu\partial_i\theta\ , \tag{5}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu = e_a^\mu\gamma^a$ (e_a^μ is the vielbein field and γ^a's denote γ matrices in 10 dimensions). For simplicity, we assume $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are constants. The action (4) is invariant under the global super-Poincaré transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\delta X^\mu &= i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^\mu\theta,\\ \delta\theta &= \epsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The correspondent transformations for vector and tensor fields are not written explicitly. The system also has local reparametrization invariance with respect to ζ and κ-symmetry.

The reparametrization invariance is fixed by choosing the gauge condition

$$X^i = R_i\zeta^i\ \ (R_0=1), \quad i=0,1,\cdots,p\ . \tag{7}$$

A fermionic symmetry is fixed by

$$\Gamma\theta = -\theta\ , \tag{8}$$

where matrix Γ squares to 1 and has a vanishing trace; $(1+\Gamma)$ is a projector which makes a 32-dimensional parameter of κ-supersymmetry effectively only 16-dimensional. Note that in the above gauge, there appear no Faddeev-Popov ghosts.

We want to address the stability of D-brane when F_{ij} (or B_{ij}) has non-trivial vacuum expectation value and study the effective potential, which is defined by

$$V = -\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\ln\int\frac{DX^\perp D\theta^\alpha DA_i}{V_A}\mathrm{e}^{-S_D}\ . \tag{9}$$

Here V_A is the gauge volume for the gauge field A_i and

$$X^{\perp} = (X^{p+1}, X^{p+2}, \cdots, X^9) \ . \tag{10}$$

The integration in Eq. (9) with respect to θ should be understand to be integrated over the θ^α space restricted by (8). We now also impose boundary conditions corresponding to the toroidal D-brane. The twist assignments to X, θ, ϵ must be consistent with the supersymmetry transformations (6). For instance the case when spinor fields are twisted is admissible as well as the case when the fields are untwisted. Note that the number of non-isomorphic linear real bundles over the toroidal space T^{p+1} (the number of spinor fields) is the number of elements in 1st cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^1(T^{p+1}; \mathbf{Z}_2) = \mathbf{Z}_2^{p+1}$. Therefore the number of topologically non-equivalent spinor configurations is 2^{p+1} and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&X^m(\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p) = X^m(\zeta^0 + T, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p) \\
&= X^m(\zeta^0, \zeta^1 + 1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p) = X^m(\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \zeta^2 + 1, \cdots, \zeta^p) \\
&= \cdots = X^m(\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p + 1) \ \ (m = p+1, p+2, \cdots, 9) \ , \\
&\theta^\alpha(\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p) = \pm\theta^\alpha(\zeta^0 + T, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p) \\
&= \pm\theta^\alpha(\zeta^0, \zeta^1 + 1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p) = \pm\theta^\alpha(\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \zeta^2 + 1, \cdots, \zeta^p) \\
&= \cdots = \pm\theta^\alpha(\zeta^0, \zeta^1, \zeta^2, \cdots, \zeta^p + 1) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, 16$ for type IIA, IIB superstring and $\alpha = 8$ for heterotic string. Below we only consider the Type IIA and IIB superstrings with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi = 0, \quad G_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}, \\
&B_{mn} = B_{im} = B_{mi} = 0 \quad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, p; \ m, n = p+1, \cdots, 9),
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and assume that anti-symmetric tensor fields in S_{WZ} vanish. We also divide the anti-symmetric part $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$ in $\hat{G}_{ij}$ into the sum of the classical part $\mathcal{F}^c_{ij}$ and the quantum fluctuation F^q_{ij}:

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \mathcal{F}^c_{ij} + F^q_{ij} \ . \tag{13}$$

In the following we write $R_i^2\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{F}^c_{ij}$ as $\hat{G}_{ij}$ and F^q_{ij} as F_{ij}.

Quadratic expansion of the action has been done in Ref. [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 \ = \ & \int_0^T d\zeta_0 \Big[(\det \hat{G}_{ij})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int d^p\zeta \Big\{ \frac{1}{2} G^{Sij} \partial_i X^\perp \cdot \partial_j X^\perp - i(\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij} \bar{\theta} \Gamma_i \partial_j \theta \\
& + \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\hat{G}^{-1})^{jk} (\hat{G}^{-1})^{li} + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{G}^{-1})^{ji} (\hat{G}^{-1})^{lk} \right) F_{ij} F_{kl} \Big\} \Big] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$G^{Sij} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left((\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij} + (\hat{G}^{-1})^{ji}\right) \tag{15}$$

is defined with the inverse matrix $(\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij}$ of $\hat{G}_{ij}$. The contribution to the one-loop effective potential from the $X^{\perp}$ and the gauge fields was calculated in [8]. The contribution from fermions was found in [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} V_\theta &= -\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr }\ln(\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij}\Gamma_i\partial_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}\text{Tr }\ln\left((\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij}\Gamma_i\partial_j\right)^2 \\ &= -4\text{Tr }\ln g_{ik}(\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij}(\hat{G}^{-1})^{kl}\partial_j\partial_l \ . \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then with the following matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_\theta^{ij} &\equiv g_{kl}G^{Sli}G^{Skj}, \\ \tilde{G}_\theta^{\alpha\beta} &= \hat{G}_\theta^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\hat{G}_\theta^{00}}\hat{G}_\theta^{0\alpha}\hat{G}_\theta^{0\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

one gets

$$V_\theta = -4\sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots,n_p=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[4\pi^2\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\tilde{G}_\theta^{\alpha\beta}(n_\alpha+\mathrm{g}_\alpha)(n_\beta+\mathrm{g}_\beta)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \tag{18}$$

where g_α, g_β $= 0$ or $1/2$, depending on the spinor field type choosen in T^{p+1}, n_α, $n_\beta \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let us consider explicit examples for the choice of electromagnetic background. First we consider the membrane ($p = 2$) with the magnetic background

$$\mathcal{F}^c_{0k} = 0 \ , \ \ \mathcal{F}^c_{12} = -\mathcal{F}^c_{21} = h, \tag{19}$$

and assume

$$R_1 = R_2 = R \ . \tag{20}$$

Then we obtain

$$\hat{G} \equiv \det \hat{G}_{ij} = R^4 + h^2, \tag{21}$$

$$(\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{G}^{-1}R^2 & -\hat{G}^{-1}h \\ 0 & \hat{G}^{-1}h & \hat{G}^{-1}R^2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{22}$$

and

$$V_\theta = -\frac{16\pi R^3}{R^4+h^2} Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{g}_1 & \mathrm{g}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right| (-1) \ . \tag{23}$$

The total one-loop effective potential (taking into account the bosonic contribution [8]) has the following form

$$V_T = k(R^4+h^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{8\pi R}{(R^4+h^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right| (-1) - Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{g}_1 & \mathrm{g}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right| (-1) \right) , \tag{27}$$

where the last term gives the contribution from fermions. Here we have used zeta-function regularization (see ref. [12] for a review). We define the 2-dimensional Epstein zeta-function associated with the quadratic form $(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{g})^T(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{g}) = (n_1+\mathrm{g}_1)^2 + (n_2+\mathrm{g}_2)^2$ and with $\mathrm{Re}s > 1$ by the formula

$$Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{g}_1 & \mathrm{g}_2 \\ h_1 & h_2 \end{array} \right| (s) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{Z}^2}{}' \left[(n_1+\mathrm{g}_1)^2 + (n_2+\mathrm{g}_2)^2 \right]^{-s/2}$$

$$\times \exp\left[2\pi i (n_1 h_1 + n_2 h_2)\right] , \tag{28}$$

where g_i and h_i $(i=1,2)$ are some real numbers, the prime means omitting the term with $(n_1,n_2) = (-\mathrm{g}_1,-\mathrm{g}_2)$ if all the g_i are integers. For $\mathrm{Re}s < 1$ the Epstein function is understood to be the analytic continuation of the right-hand side of Eq. (28). Defined in such a way, the Epstein function obeys the explicit form (see Ref. [13] for detail)

$$Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{g}_1 & \mathrm{g}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right| (-1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ -\mathrm{g}_1 & -\mathrm{g}_2 \end{array} \right| (2)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 4\zeta(2)\beta(2), & \mathrm{g}_1 = \mathrm{g}_2 = 0, \\ -\eta(2)\beta(2), & \mathrm{g}_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{g}_2 = 0 \ (\mathrm{g}_1 = 0, \mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2}) \\ -4\eta(2)\beta(2), & \mathrm{g}_1 = \mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \end{array} \right. , \tag{29}$$

where the function $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function, $\eta(s) = (1-2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ is the analytical continuation of the series sum $\sum_{m=o}^{\infty}(-1)^m(2m+1)^{-s}$ convergent at $\mathrm{Re}s > 0$. Therefore $Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right| (-1) < 0$, while $Z \left| \begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{g}_1 & \mathrm{g}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right| (-1) > 0$ for $\mathrm{g}_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ $(\mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2})$ or for $\mathrm{g}_1 = \mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Rescaling

$$R \to h^{\frac{1}{2}} R \ , \quad V_T \to h^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_T \ , \tag{30}$$

we obtain

$$V_T = h^{\frac{3}{2}}(R^4+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{8\pi R}{(R^4+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(Z\left|\begin{matrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{matrix}\right|(-1) - Z\left|\begin{matrix} \mathrm{g}_1 & \mathrm{g}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{matrix}\right|(-1)\right) . \qquad (31)$$

Therefore if $\mathrm{g}_1 = \mathrm{g}_2 = 0$ then $V_T = h^{3/2}(R^4+1)^{1/2}$, but if $(\mathrm{g}_1, \mathrm{g}_2) \neq (0,0)$ one gets

$$V_T = h^{\frac{3}{2}}(R^4+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4R}{\pi(R^4+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \begin{cases} 4\zeta(2)\beta(2) + \eta(2)\beta(2), & \mathrm{g}_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{g}_2 = 0 \\ & (\mathrm{g}_1 = 0, \mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2}), \\ 4\zeta(2)\beta(2) + 4\eta(2)\beta(2), & \mathrm{g}_1 = \mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \qquad (32)$$

For $R \to 0$ we have $V_T = h^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(R)$, while for $R \to \infty$, $V_T = h^{3/2}R^2 + \mathcal{O}(R^{-1})$. It can be shown that the one-loop effective potential has stable minimums iff $(\mathrm{g}_1, \mathrm{g}_2) \neq (0,0)$.

Let us find now the one-loop effective potential of the membrane in the constant electric background where

$$\mathcal{F}_{0k} = e \ , \quad \mathcal{F}_{kl} = 0 \ , \quad R_1 = R_2 = R \ . \qquad (33)$$

Then we find

$$\hat{G} \equiv \det \hat{G}_{ij} = R^4 + 2e^2R^2, \qquad (34)$$

$$(\hat{G}^{-1})^{ij} = \hat{G}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R^4 & -eR^2 & -eR^2 \\ eR^2 & R^2+e^2 & -e^2 \\ eR^2 & -e^2 & R^2+e^2 \end{pmatrix} . \qquad (35)$$

Now the total one-loop effective potential (taking into account the bosonic contribution found in Ref. [8]) is given by

$$V_T = k(R^4+2e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{8\pi(R^2+e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R^2}\left[\mathcal{Z}_0\left(-1; -\frac{e^2}{R^2+e^2}\right) - \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}\left(-1; -\frac{e^2}{R^2+e^2}\right)\right] . \qquad (36)$$

The function $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(s;a)$ is defined by the relation for $\mathrm{Re}s > 1$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(s;a) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{Z}^2} \left[(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{g})^T \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{g}) \right]^{-s/2} , \qquad (37)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, a is a real number, i.e. $a^2 > 0$. Use the Mellin representation

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(s;a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{Z}^2} \int_0^\infty dt \cdot t^{s/2-1} \exp\left[-t(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{g})^T \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{g}) \right] , \qquad (38)$$

and the Poisson resummation formula yields the following expression

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(s;a) = \sqrt{\pi}(1-a^2)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} Z\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{g} \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}(s-1) + \frac{2\pi^{\frac{s}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\cos\left[2\pi n_1 \mathcal{G}(an_2)\right]$$

$$\times\left[\frac{(n_2+\mathrm{g}_2)\sqrt{1-a^2}}{n_1}\right]^{\frac{1-s}{2}} K_{\frac{1-s}{2}}\left(2\pi n_1(n_2+g_2)\sqrt{1-a^2}\right). \tag{39}$$

In Eq. (39) $K_\nu(z)$ are the modified Bessel functions and $\mathcal{G}(an_2) = a(n_2 + \mathrm{g}_2) + \mathrm{g}_1$. In the case $\mathbf{g} = (0,0)$ the $\mathcal{Z}_0(s;a)$ is given by the Chowla-Selberg formula [14]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{0}}(s;a) = 2\zeta(s) + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}(1-a^2)^{\frac{1-s}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}\zeta(s-1)\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})$$

$$+\frac{8\pi^{\frac{s}{2}}(1-a^2)^{\frac{1-s}{4}}}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\sigma_{1-s}(n)\cos(n\pi a)K_{\frac{s-1}{2}}\left(2n\pi\sqrt{1-a^2}\right), \tag{40}$$

where $\sigma_s(n) \equiv \sum_{d|n} d^s$, sum over the s-powers of the divisors of n. Finally for $s = -1$ we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(-1;a) = -\frac{1-a^2}{4\pi^2} Z\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ -\mathrm{g} \end{vmatrix}(3) - \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\cos\left[2\pi n_1\mathcal{G}(an_2)\right]$$

$$\times\left[\frac{(n_2+\mathrm{g}_2)\sqrt{1-a^2}}{n_1}\right] K_1\left(2\pi n_1(n_2+\mathrm{g}_2)\sqrt{1-a^2}\right), \tag{41}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{0}}(-1;a) = -\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1-a^2}{4\pi^2}\zeta(3)$$

$$-\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{1-a^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_2(n)}{n}\cos(n\pi a)K_1\left(2n\pi\sqrt{1-a^2}\right). \tag{42}$$

In Eq. (41) the functional equation (analytic continuation)

$$\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) Z\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{g} \\ h \end{vmatrix}(s) = \pi^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\exp(-2\pi i \mathrm{g} h) Z\begin{vmatrix} h \\ -\mathrm{g} \end{vmatrix}(1-s), \tag{43}$$

has been used. A simple calculation along the above line gives

$$Z\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ -\mathrm{g} \end{vmatrix}(3) = \begin{cases} 2\zeta(3), & \mathrm{g}_2 = 0, \\ -2\eta(3), & \mathrm{g}_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} . \tag{44}$$

and $Z\left|\begin{matrix}0\\0\end{matrix}\right|(3) > 0$, while $Z\left|\begin{matrix}0\\-1/2\end{matrix}\right|(3) < 0$.

When $R \to 0$, $(a \to -1)$ and $(\mathrm{g}_1, \mathrm{g}_2) \neq (0,0)$ the one-loop effective potential behaves as

$$V_T = \frac{8\pi e}{R^2}\left[\mathcal{Z}_0(-1;-1) - \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(-1;-1)\right] + \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{45}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(-1; a \to -1) < 0$ for $\mathrm{g}_1 = \mathrm{g}_2$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}(-1; a \to -1) > 0$ for $\mathrm{g}_1 - \mathrm{g}_2 = \pm 1/2$. On the other hand, when $R \to \infty$ $(a \to 0)$ we have

$$V_T = kR^2 + \mathcal{O}(R^{-1}). \tag{46}$$

Thus using Eqs. (45) and (46) one can show that there are minimums of the function V_T. This should be compared with the effective potential of the bosonic D-membrane in the electric background, which is unstable. Thus supersymmetric D-brane in constant electric field becomes the stable one unlike the case of the bosonic D-brane. That indicates such background maybe reasonable candidate for groundstate. Then detailed quantization of D-brane on such background deserves further study.

ACKNOWELEDGMENTS

We would like to thank A.Sugamoto for helpful discussions. The research of A.A. Bytsenko was supported in part by CNPq grant (Brazil), by Russian Foundation for Basic research (grant No. 98-02-18380-a) and by GRACENAS (grant No. 6-18-1997). Research of S.D. Odintsov has been supported in part by COLCIENCIAS (Colombia).

References

[1] J. Dai, R.G. Leigh and J. Polchinski, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A4** (1989) 2073;

[2] M.B. Green, *Phys.Lett.* **B239** (1994) 435;

[3] J. Polchinski,*Phys.Rev.Lett.* **75** (1995) 4724; hep-th 9611050;

[4] E. Floratos and G. Leontaris, *Phys.Lett.* **B220** (1989)65; **B223** (1989)37; S.D. Odintsov, *Europhys.Lett.* **10** (1989)439; S.D. Odintsov and D.L. Wiltshire, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **7** (1990)1499; A.A. Bytsenko and S.D. Odintsov, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **9** (1992)391.

[5] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P.K. Townsend, *Ann.Phys.* **185** (1987)330; M. Duff, T. Inami, C. Pope, E. Sezgin and K. Stelle, *Nucl.Phys.* **B297** (1988) 515.

[6] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh, T. Ortin and G. Papadopoulos, hep-th 9705040; D. Sorokin and P. Townsend, hep-th 9708003.

[7] T. Kadoyashi, S. Nojiri S.D. Odintsov and A. Sugamoto, hep-th//9710010.

[8] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, hep-th/9707142, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A, to appear.

[9] M. Abou-Zeid and C. Hull, *Phys.Lett.* **B404** (1997) 264.

[10] I. Bandos, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, hep-th/9701127; *Nucl.Phys.* **B497** (1997) 275. I. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *Phy.Rev.Lett.* **78** (1997) 4332.

[11] M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, hep-th/9610249, hep-th/9612080; E. Bergshoeff and P.K. Townsend, hep-th/9611173; M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B.E.W. Nilsson, P. Sundell and A. Westerberg, hep-th/9611159.

[12] E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A.A. Bytsenko and S. Zerbini, “Zeta Regularization techniques with Applications”, (World Scientific, 1994).

[13] I.J. Zucker, J. Phys. **A 7**, 1568 (1974); **A 8**, 1734 (1975).

[14] S. Chowla and A. Selberg, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **35**, 317 (1949).